

# Operational Noise Report Dubbers 2 x Wind Turbines

Clean Earth Energy Ltd

16024-016 23 October 2025

# **Quality Assurance**

TNEI Services Ltd, TNEI Africa (PTY) Ltd and TNEI Ireland Ltd operate an Integrated Management System and is registered with The British Assessment Bureau as being compliant with ISO 9001 (Quality), ISO 14001 (Environmental) and ISO 45001 (Health and Safety).

# Disclaimer

This document is issued for the sole use of the Customer as detailed on the front page of this document to whom the document is addressed and who entered into a written agreement with TNEI. All other use of this document is strictly prohibited and no other person or entity is permitted to use this report unless it has otherwise been agreed in writing by TNEI. This document must be read in its entirety and statements made within may be based on assumptions or the best information available at the time of producing the document and these may be subject to material change with either actual amounts differing substantially from those used in this document or other assumptions changing significantly. TNEI hereby expressly disclaims any and all liability for the consequences of any such changes. TNEI also accept no liability or responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon or being used for anything other than the specific purpose for which it is intended, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data used in the document that has been provided by a third party.

This document is protected by copyright and may only be reproduced and circulated in accordance with the Document Classification and associated conditions stipulated or referred to in this document and/or in TNEI's written agreement with the Customer. No part of this document may be disclosed in any public offering memorandum, prospectus or stock exchange listing, circular announcement without the express and prior written consent of TNEI. A Document Classification permitting the Customer to redistribute this document shall not thereby imply that TNEI has any liability to any recipient other than the Customer.

Any information provided by third parties that is included in this report has not been independently verified by TNEI and as such TNEI accept no responsibility for its accuracy and completeness. The Customer should take appropriate steps to verify this information before placing any reliance on it.



# **Document Control**

Revision	Status	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by	Date
RO	FIRST ISSUE	MR	MC	MC	23/10/2025

**TNEI Services Ltd** 

Company Registration Number: 03891836 VAT Registration Number: 239 0146 20

Registered Address

5th Floor West One 7<sup>th</sup> Floor West One 7<sup>th</sup> Floor

10 Chapel Walks Forth Banks 80 St. Vincent Street

ManchesterNewcastle upon TyneGlasgowM2 1HLNE1 3PAG2 5UB

Tel: +44 (0)161 233 4800 Tel: +44 (0)191 211 1400 Tel: +44 (0)141 428 3180

**TNEI Ireland Ltd** 

Registered Address: 104 Lower Baggot Street, Dublin 2, DO2 Y940

Company Registration Number: 662195 VAT Registration Number: 3662952IH

Unit S12, Synergy Centre TU Dublin Tallaght Campus

Tallaght D24 A386

Tel: +353 (0)190 36445

TNEI Africa (Pty) Ltd

Registered: Mazars House, Rialto Rd, Grand Moorings Precinct,7441 Century City, South Africa

Company Number: 2016/088929/07

Unit 514 Tyger Lake

Niagara Rd & Tyger Falls Blvd

Bellville, Cape Town South Africa, 7530



# **Executive Summary**

TNEI Services Ltd (TNEI) has been contracted by Clean Earth Energy Ltd (CEE) to undertake a noise assessment for two proposed Wind Turbines at Dubbers.

Predictions of wind turbine noise have been made, based upon sound power level data for the Vestas V117 4.3MW Mode PO2 for the proposed Dubbers Wind Turbines. The five nearby consented single Wind Turbines at Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow have all been considered as part of a cumulative noise assessment, along with 4 other operational EWT DW54 (500 kW) wind turbines and a potential additional 1 x wind turbine at Higher Biscovillack (proposed by Clean Earth Energy at similar timescales as Dubbers).

The turbine noise prediction model used is considered to provide a realistic impact assessment and considers current good practice, inclusive of the Institute of Acoustics document 'A Good Practice Guide to the Application of ETSU-R-97 for the Rating and Assessment of Wind Turbines' (IOA GPG) issued in May 2013. The predictions were undertaken at 18 Noise Assessment Locations which are residential properties in the immediate and wider area.

For most the Noise Assessment Locations, Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits (also referred to as the 'cumulative limit') were already presented in the planning application noise reports of wind turbines consented at Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow. The only receptors specifically added for consideration of the two Dubbers wind turbines are Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor, Menmundy Cottage and Old Pound and these have been set with Total Noise Limits derived from background noise levels at a proxy location Longstone House.

The assessment results show that predicted cumulative wind turbine noise levels are below the Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits at most the Noise Assessment Locations however exceedances in full mode and worst-case cumulative wind direction are identified in daytime at 3 receptors south of the Dubbers wind turbine, of up to 0.8dB daytime 7-8m/s in easterly winds. Mitigation in daytime 7-8m/s in easterly winds is required for the V117 to meet the noise limits with alternative modes to that of the full mode PO2 (i.e. this model has lower noise modes which can be programmed for specific conditions). A calculation has been made to evaluate the maximum noise levels at the key receptors south from the two Dubbers wind turbines so that cumulative noise can be met in these specific conditions and the results are shown in suggested planning noise condition tables found in Annex 4.

If Cornwall Council are minded to approve the two Dubbers Wind Turbines, it is recommended that conditioned noise limits are specific for the Dubbers Wind Turbines operating on their own. The noise conditions mechanism found in the recent planning conditions of Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow may be used, which involves conditioning the wind turbine based on predicted noise levels. The noise predictions for the Dubbers Wind Turbines with 2 x Vestas V117 4.3MW in full mode PO2 are shown in this report and example condition wording is included in Annex 4, with an adjustment to lower more restrictive values (compared to full mode) where required to ensure that Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits are met by cumulative predictions in all conditions and at all receptors.



# **Contents**

ט	ocume	nt Control	3
E>	kecutiv	e Summary	4
С	ontent	s	5
1	Intr	oduction	6
	1.1	Brief	6
	1.2	Background	6
2	Me	thodology	
	2.1	Assessing Compliance with the Noise Conditions	7
	2.2	Noise Assessment Locations	7
	2.3	Noise Limits	8
	2.4	Wind Shear Considerations	
	2.5	Noise Emission Characteristics of the Wind Turbines	10
	2.6	Noise Propagation Parameters	12
3	Noi	se Assessment Results	
4		nclusion	
5		erences	
6		ssary of Terms	
U	GIO	55aly UL TELLIS	∠∪

### **APPENDICES**

Annex 1 – Figures

Annex 2 – Noise data, wind turbine coordinates and topographic adjustments

Annex 3 – Predictions in various conditions

Annex 4 – Suggested noise condition



# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Brief

To undertake predictions of the operational wind turbine noise levels from a Vestas V117 4.3MW wind turbine on a 76.5 m hub for the proposed two Dubbers Wind Turbines.

To consider the concurrent operation of the Dubbers Wind Turbines with the existing operating wind turbines in the area and other turbines consented at Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow. To also consider a potential additional 1 x wind turbine at Higher Biscovillack proposed by Clean Earth Energy at similar timescales as Dubbers.

To compare the predicted noise levels at the nearest noise sensitive receptors against Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits and recommend noise mitigation measures for the Vestas V117, if required.

To produce a noise report presenting the results and to suggest planning noise conditions in a similar format to the recently consented Goonamarth 2 or Burngullow wind turbines.

# 1.2 Background

Between 2021 and 2024, the single wind turbines of Longstones (PA20/09318), East Karslake (PA21/12493) Wheal Martyn (PA21/07216), Goonamarth 2 (PA23/10069) and Burngullow PA23/09937) were separately granted planning permission by Cornwall Council for the installation of a wind turbine with a maximum blade tip height of 135m. TNEI prepared noise reports and discussed noise conditions for these sites with Cornwall Council. This assessment and report uses similar assumptions and considers the additional proposed two wind turbines at Dubbers and a potential additional 1 x wind turbine at Higher Biscovillack proposed by Clean Earth Energy at similar timescales as Dubbers.



# 2 Methodology

# 2.1 Assessing Compliance with the Noise Conditions

To undertake an assessment of the operational noise to determine compliance with the Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits, the following steps are required:

- Identify the nearest noise sensitive receptors and select a sample of the representative Noise
  Assessment Locations (NAL) at which Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits have already been
  established;
- Specify the grid coordinates and noise data of each wind turbine;
- Predict the cumulative wind turbine noise levels at each of the NALs and compare predictions with the Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits;
- If exceedances of the limits are found to occur, suggest noise mitigation measures as required.

The operational noise limits should not be breached. Consequently, the test applied to operational noise is whether the predicted wind turbine noise levels at all noise assessment locations lie below these noise limits.

# 2.2 Noise Assessment Locations

Noise Assessment Locations (NALs) are defined in this report as the boundary of the curtilage of a residential property that is closest to the wind turbines. This is where noise predictions are calculated to be compared to noise limits. Noise Monitoring Locations (NMLs) are defined as the points where background noise monitoring was previously undertaken to establish prevailing background noise levels.

The NMLs and NALs assumed in this report are mostly identical to those presented in previous TNEI noise reports. The only receptors specifically added for consideration of the two Dubbers wind turbines are located to the north west, west and south west of the Dubbers turbines, at locations named Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor, Menmundy Cottage and Old Pound. These four receptors have been set with Total Noise Limits derived from background noise levels at a proxy location Longstone House. Figure A1.1 in Annex 1 shows the NMLs and NALs as well as the wind turbines considered. Table 2.1 below provides a list of the NALs, the relative distance, and which NML was chosen to represent each NAL to set background noise levels.

**Table 2.1 Noise Assessment Locations** 

NALs	X (Easting)	Y (Northing)	Distance to nearest Dubbers WT (m)	Representative NML	Comment
NAL1-Newgate	197946	53255	2874 (T2)	В	Same receptors
NAL2-Prideaux	198384	53077	3144 (T2)	В	NAL1-NAL14 as previously, with
NAL3-23 Carne Hill	198762	53393	2981 (T2)	В	identical
NAL4-Treglyn Gardens	199550	53677	3169 (T2)	В	background and Total ETSU-R-97 Ilimit
NAL5-Secret Cottage (2 properties)	199152	53871	2769 (T2)	В	assumptions.



NALs	X (Easting)	Y (Northing)	Distance to nearest Dubbers WT (m)	Representative NML	Comment
NAL6-Penisker Farm	199087	54161	2500 (T2)	В	
NAL7-Biscovillack Farm	199576	54088	2886 (T2)	В	
NAL8-Area 51 campsite and house east of Greensplat Rd	200157	54074	3337 (T2)	В	
NAL10-Greystone Cottage	199819	54849	2631 (T2)	D	
NAL11-Longstone Cottage	197688	55420	699 (T2)	А	
NAL12-Longstone House	197633	55346	760 (T2)	А	
NAL13-Carthew Farm Cottage	200287	55931	2684 (T1)	С	
NAL14-Adit (property North of Carthew)	200287	56332	2637 (T1)	С	
NAL15-Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor	197045	56977	810 (T1)	А	New receptor north west of Dubbers
NAL16-Menmundy Cottage	196525	56368	1014 (T2)	А	New receptor west of Dubbers
NAL17-Old Pound	197154	55665	552 (T2)	А	New receptor
NAL18-Old Pound near Clay Community Church	197341	55594	525 (T2)	А	south west of Dubbers

# 2.3 Noise Limits

The Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits (also referred to as the 'cumulative limit') have already been set for NAL1 to NAL14 within past noise reports from TNEI for the planning applications of Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow.

For NAL15-NAL18, these are the four new receptors of Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor, Menmundy Cottage and Old Pound and they have been set with Total Noise Limits derived from background noise levels at the proxy location Longstone House. Due to NAL15- Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor and NAL16- Menmundy Cottage being far away from all other wind turbines in the area, no cumulative noise is anticipated and as such the daytime fixed minimum limit was reduced to 35dB for these two receptors.

The Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits are summarised in Table 2.2 (Quiet Daytime) and Table 2.3 (Night-time) below.



Table 2.2 Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits for Quiet Daytime

	Wind sp	eed standa	ardised fro	m 80 to 10	) metre he	ight (m/s)				
Location	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
NAL1-Newgate	40	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL2-Prideaux	40	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL3-23 Carne Hill	40	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL4-Treglyn Gardens	40	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL5-Secret Cottage (2 properties)	40	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL6-Penisker Farm	40	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL7-Biscovillack Farm	40	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL8-Area 51 campsite and house east of Greensplat Rd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL10-Greystone Cottage	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
NAL11-Longstone Cottage	40	40	40	40	40	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL12-Longstone House	40	40	40	40	40	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL13-Carthew Farm Cottage	40	40.3	41.5	42.7	43.8	45	46.2	47.3	48.5	49.7
NAL14-Adit (property North of Carthew)	40	40.3	41.5	42.7	43.8	45	46.2	47.3	48.5	49.7
NAL15-Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor	35	35	35.6	37.1	38.6	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL16-Menmundy Cottage	35	35	35.6	37.1	38.6	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL17-Old Pound	40	40	40	40	40	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL18-Old Pound near Clay Community Church	40	40	40	40	40	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6

Table 2.3 Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits for Night-time

	) metre he	metre height (m/s)								
Location	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
NAL1-Newgate	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL2-Prideaux	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL3-23 Carne Hill	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL4-Treglyn Gardens	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL5-Secret Cottage (2 properties)	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL6-Penisker Farm	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43





NAL7-Biscovillack Farm	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL8-Area 51 campsite and house east of Greensplat Rd	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL10-Greystone Cottage	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
NAL11-Longstone Cottage	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL12-Longstone House	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL13-Carthew Farm Cottage	43	43	43	43	43	43	44.2	45.5	46.7	47.9
NAL14-Adit (property North of Carthew)	43	43	43	43	43	43	44.2	45.5	46.7	47.9
NAL15-Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL16-Menmundy Cottage	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL17-Old Pound	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL18-Old Pound near Clay Community Church	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5

# 2.4 Wind Shear Considerations

The noise limits refer to wind speeds which have been measured at a height of 80 m and standardised to 10 m. As such, no site specific wind shear correction is required for the predictions of wind turbine noise. The proposed wind turbine model will have a hub height slightly below 80 m hub, however these limits remain applicable and are in fact worst-case for any hub height below 80 m.

### 2.5 Noise Emission Characteristics of the Wind Turbines

The wind turbines modelled are summarised in Table 2.4 below.



**Table 2.4 Wind Turbine Noise Modelling Parameters** 

Wind Turbine Name / Status	Candidate Turbine considered in this report	Maximum Sound Power Level Modelled, inclusive of uncertainties added by TNEI:					
Higher Goonamarth / Operating							
Greensplat / Operating	EWT DW54 500kW on a	101					
Blackpool / Operating	Som nub						
Gunheath / Operating							
Longstones / Consented							
East Karslake / Consented		107					
Wheal Martyn / Consented	ENERCON E-115 EP3 E4- 4260 kW Mode 0s 77m hub						
Goonamarth 2 / Consented							
Burngullow / Consented							
Dubbers 2xWTs / Proposed	VESTAS V117 4.3MW Mode	107					
Higher Biscovillack /Proposed	PO2 76.5m hub	107					

Due to differences in the way in which noise levels are provided by the different manufacturers, TNEI has accounted for uncertainty in the noise data in accordance with the recommendations included within the IOA GPG.

The noise data supplied by EWT for the DW54 was adjusted by +1.5 dB to match the maximum sound power of 101 dB(LAeq) consented in the noise condition of the Higher Goonamarth Wind Turbine (PA14/12102). This results in the same sound power levels as assumed in the planning noise reports for the wind turbines at Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow.

The noise data supplied by Vestas for the V117 4.3MW PO2 was adjusted by +1 dB, based on a statement on uncertainties issued by Vestas to Clean Earth Energy on 18<sup>th</sup> December 2023.

The noise data supplied by Enercon for the E-115 EP3 E4 was adjusted by +2 dB as no specific confirmation from Enercon was available. This resulted in a maximum noise output identical to the Vestas V117 however please note that the full sound power curve at various wind speed is slightly different and the octave data used is also different (specific for each turbine).

Quantification of tonal noise and subsequent calculation of any resulting penalty in accordance with ETSU-R-97 can usually only be undertaken once the turbines are operating. Independent test reports only consider tonal audibility at locations very close to a single turbine in accordance with the methodology of IEC 61400-11. This data cannot be used to determine whether an ETSU-R-97 tonal penalty would be required when undertaking noise predictions which are used to assess compliance with ETSU-R-97 limits at a distant receptor, and as such no tonal penalty was included in the predictions. This is recognised in the IOA GPG which states:





'It is highly unlikely that any specific information on tonality at representative receptor separation distances in accordance with the ETSU-R-97 methodology will be available at the planning application stage. When such information is available, it should be appropriately applied. It is standard to control the potential presence of tones in practice through the use of suitable planning conditions.'

The sound power data used for modelling, inclusive of uncertainties, is included in Annex 2. Please note that the Vestas and Enercon data is under NDA and can not be published, it can however be obtained upon request.

# 2.6 Noise Propagation Parameters

The full version of the ISO 9613-2 model has been used to predict the wind turbine noise levels at the NALs.

All noise level predictions have been undertaken using a receiver height of 4.0m above local ground level, mixed ground (G=0.5) and air absorption coefficients based on a temperature of 10°C and 70 per cent relative humidity to provide a realistic impact assessment. These modelling parameters reflect current good practice as detailed within the IOA GPG.

A topographical assessment has been undertaken between each noise sensitive receptor and wind turbine location to determine whether any concave ground profiles exist between the source and receiver. Analysis undertaken using a combination of CadnaA and an Excel model found that, if the formula in the IOA GPG is applied directly, a +3 dB correction is required for some turbines at a number of receptors as summarised in Annex 2.

In addition, an assessment has been undertaken to determine whether any topographical screening effects of the terrain occur where there is no direct line of sight between the highest point on the turbine rotor and the receiver location. Upon analysis of each noise sensitive receptor it was found that a barrier correction of -2 dB could be applied for some turbines at a number of receptors as detailed in Annex 2.

The assessment has taken into account directivity effects in line with good practice. Directivity was applied in accordance with the IOA GPG and the worst-case cumulative wind direction was considered, that is typically wind blowing from the nearest wind turbines (in the cumulative scenario) towards the NALs. The TNEI noise model can consider the effect of directivity, and in line with current good practice the attenuation values used are in detailed in Table 2.5. These are based upon the examples given in the IOA GPG (Section 4.4.2), using interpolation where required.

Table 2.5 Wind Directivity Attenuation Factors used in Modelling

Direction (º)	0	15	30	45	60	75	90	105	120	135	150	165
Attenuation dB(A))	-10	-9.9	-9.3	-8.3	-6.7	-4.6	-2	0	0	0	0	0
Direction (º)	180	195	210	225	240	255	270	285	300	315	330	345
Attenuation (dB(A))	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	-4.6	-6.7	-8.3	-9.3	-9.9



# 3 Noise Assessment Results

Figures A1.2a to A1.2r (included in Annex 1) show the cumulative noise predictions at each NAL (one figure per NAL) and on each figure a breakdown of the individual wind turbine predictions is also provided. The prediction results for cumulative and individual wind turbines are included in Table 3.1 below and the noise assessment results comparing Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits with cumulative predictions is included in Table 3.2 (Quiet Daytime) and Table 3.3 (Night-time). A negative exceedance level indicates that the predicted noise immission level is below the noise limit. Any exceedances are shown in **bold**.

**Table 3.1 Predicted Wind Turbine Noise Levels** 

			Wind s	peed st	andardi	ised to	10 metr	e heigh	t (m/s)		Wind
Id	Wind Turbines being predicted	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Direction
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	28.6	30.7	33.1	35.2	35.8	36.4	36.4	36.4	36.4	0°
NAL1	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	9.8	14	17.7	19.9	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	0°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	28.6	30.8	33.2	35.3	35.9	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.5	0°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	27.6	29.9	32.3	34.5	35.1	35.6	35.6	35.6	35.6	0°
NAL2	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	8.8	13	16.7	18.9	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	0°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	27.7	30	32.5	34.6	35.2	35.7	35.7	35.7	35.7	0°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	29.2	31.7	34.5	37	37.4	37.8	37.8	37.8	37.8	0°
NAL3	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	10.5	14.7	18.4	20.6	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9	0°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.3	31.8	34.6	37.1	37.5	37.9	37.9	37.9	37.9	0°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	23.2	26.3	29.5	32.2	32.5	32.8	32.8	32.8	32.8	0°
NAL4	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	8.9	13.1	16.8	19	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	0°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	23.4	26.5	29.7	32.4	32.7	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9	0°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	27.7	30.8	34.1	37	37.2	37.4	37.4	37.4	37.4	0°
NAL5	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	10.4	14.6	18.3	20.5	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	0°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	27.7	30.9	34.2	37.1	37.3	37.5	37.5	37.5	37.5	0°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	29.4	32.7	36	38.9	39.1	39.3	39.3	39.3	39.3	0°
NAL6	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	12.6	16.8	20.5	22.8	23	23	23	23	23	0°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.5	32.8	36.1	39	39.2	39.4	39.4	39.4	39.4	0°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	28.9	31.7	34.7	37.4	37.7	38	38	38	38	330°
NAL7	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	11.1	15.3	19	21.2	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	330°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29	31.8	34.9	37.5	37.8	38.1	38.1	38.1	38.1	330°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	28.8	31.1	33.6	35.8	36.4	36.8	36.8	36.8	36.8	300°
NAL8	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	10.4	14.6	18.3	20.5	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	300°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	28.8	31.2	33.7	35.9	36.5	37	37	37	37	300°
0	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	32.3	34.6	37.2	39.5	40	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5	210°
	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	9	13.1	16.9	19.1	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	210°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	32.3	34.7	37.2	39.5	40	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5	210°
1	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	27.7	31.4	35.2	38.3	38.4	38.5	38.5	38.5	38.5	60°
NAL11	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	27	31.1	34.9	37.1	37.4	37.4	37.4	37.4	37.4	60°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	30.4	34.3	38	40.7	40.9	41	41	41	41	60°





			Winds	peed st	andardi	ised to	10 metr	e heigh	t (m/s)		Wind
Id	Wind Turbines being predicted	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Direction
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	27.3	31	34.7	37.8	38	38	38	38	38	60°
NAL12	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	26.2	30.3	34.1	36.3	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	60°
_	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.8	33.7	37.4	40.1	40.3	40.4	40.4	40.4	40.4	60°
_	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	29.3	32.9	36.5	39.6	39.7	39.8	39.8	39.8	39.8	270°
NAL13	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	11	15.2	18.9	21.1	21.4	21.4	21.4	21.4	21.4	270°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.4	33	36.6	39.6	39.8	39.9	39.9	39.9	39.9	270°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	31.8	35.3	38.9	41.9	42.1	42.2	42.2	42.2	42.2	330°
NAL14	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	11.1	15.3	19	21.2	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	330°
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	31.9	35.4	39	41.9	42.1	42.3	42.3	42.3	42.3	330°
2	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	16.3	19.7	23.3	26.2	26.5	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	90°
NAL15	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	26	30.2	33.9	36.1	36.4	36.4	36.4	36.4	36.4	90°
_	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	26.5	30.6	34.3	36.6	36.8	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	90°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	15.2	18.6	22.1	25	25.3	25.4	25.4	25.4	25.4	60°
NAL16	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	24	28.2	31.9	34.1	34.4	34.4	34.4	34.4	34.4	60°
Ž	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	24.6	28.6	32.3	34.6	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.9	60°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	20.3	23.9	27.5	30.5	30.7	30.8	30.8	30.8	30.8	60°
NAL17	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	29	33.2	36.9	39.1	39.4	39.4	39.4	39.4	39.4	60°
N	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.6	33.7	37.4	39.7	40	40	40	40	40	60°
	Existing & consented (9 WTs)	21.6	25.2	28.9	31.9	32.1	32.2	32.2	32.2	32.2	60°
NAL18	Dubbers WT (1 WT)	29.5	33.7	37.4	39.6	39.9	39.9	39.9	39.9	39.9	60°
Ž	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	30.2	34.2	38	40.3	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	60°

**Table 3.2 Compliance Table for Quiet Daytime** 

			Wir	nd speed	standard	lised to 1	.0 metre	height (m	1/s)	
	Location	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL1	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	28.6	30.8	33.2	35.3	35.9	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.5
_	Exceedance Level	-11.4	-9.2	-6.8	-4.7	-4.1	-3.9	-6.1	-8.3	-8.3
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL2	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	27.7	30	32.5	34.6	35.2	35.7	35.7	35.7	35.7
_	Exceedance Level	-12.3	-10	-7.5	-5.4	-4.8	-4.7	-6.9	-9.1	-9.1
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL3	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.3	31.8	34.6	37.1	37.5	37.9	37.9	37.9	37.9
_	Exceedance Level	-10.7	-8.2	-5.4	-2.9	-2.5	-2.5	-4.7	-6.9	-6.9
_	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL4	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	23.4	26.5	29.7	32.4	32.7	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9
_	Exceedance Level	-16.6	-13.5	-10.3	-7.6	-7.3	-7.5	-9.7	-11.9	-11.9
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL5	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	27.7	30.9	34.2	37.1	37.3	37.5	37.5	37.5	37.5
_	Exceedance Level	-12.3	-9.1	-5.8	-2.9	-2.7	-2.9	-5.1	-7.3	-7.3
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
NAL6	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.5	32.8	36.1	39	39.2	39.4	39.4	39.4	39.4
_	Exceedance Level	-10.5	-7.2	-3.9	-1	-0.8	-1	-3.2	-5.4	-5.4





NAL7	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29	31.8	34.9	37.5	37.8	38.1	38.1	38.1	38.1
	Exceedance Level	-11	-8.2	-5.1	-2.5	-2.2	-2.3	-4.5	-6.7	-6.7
NAL8	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40.4	42.6	44.8	44.8
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	28.8	31.2	33.7	35.9	36.5	37	37	37	37
	Exceedance Level	-11.2	-8.8	-6.3	-4.1	-3.5	-3.4	-5.6	-7.8	-7.8
NAL10	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	32.3	34.7	37.2	39.5	40	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5
	Exceedance Level	-17.7	-15.3	-12.8	-10.5	-10	-9.5	-9.5	-9.5	-9.5
1	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL11	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	30.4	34.3	38	40.7	40.9	41	41	41	41
Z	Exceedance Level	-9.6	-5.7	-2	0.7	0.8	-0.6	-2.1	-3.6	-3.6
2	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL12	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.8	33.7	37.4	40.1	40.3	40.4	40.4	40.4	40.4
Z	Exceedance Level	-10.2	-6.3	-2.6	0.1	0.2	-1.2	-2.7	-4.2	-4.2
8	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40.3	41.5	42.7	43.8	45	46.2	47.3	48.5	49.7
NAL13	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.4	33	36.6	39.6	39.8	39.9	39.9	39.9	39.9
Z	Exceedance Level	-10.9	-8.5	-6.1	-4.2	-5.2	-6.3	-7.4	-8.6	-9.8
4	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40.3	41.5	42.7	43.8	45	46.2	47.3	48.5	49.7
NAL14	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	31.9	35.4	39	41.9	42.1	42.3	42.3	42.3	42.3
2	Exceedance Level	-8.4	-6.1	-3.7	-1.9	-2.9	-3.9	-5	-6.2	-7.4
5	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	35	35.6	37.1	38.6	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	26.5	30.6	34.3	36.6	36.8	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9
2	Exceedance Level	-8.5	-5	-2.8	-2	-3.3	-4.7	-6.2	-7.7	-7.7
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	35	35.6	37.1	38.6	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL16	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	24.6	28.6	32.3	34.6	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.9
Ž	Exceedance Level	-10.4	-7	-4.8	-4	-5.2	-6.7	-8.2	-9.7	-9.7
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
NAL17	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.6	33.7	37.4	39.7	40	40	40	40	40
	Exceedance Level	-10.4	-6.3	-2.6	-0.3	-0.1	-1.6	-3.1	-4.6	-4.6
NAL18	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	40	40	40	40	40.1	41.6	43.1	44.6	44.6
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	30.2	34.2	38	40.3	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6
	Exceedance Level	-9.8	-5.8	-2	0.3	0.5	-1	-2.5	-4	-4

**Table 3.3 Compliance Table for Night-time** 

Location		Wind speed standardised to 10 metre height (m/s)										
		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
1	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43		
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	28.6	30.8	33.2	35.3	35.9	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.5		
	Exceedance Level	-14.4	-12.2	-9.8	-7.7	-7.1	-6.5	-6.5	-6.5	-6.5		
-	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43		
NAL2	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	27.7	30	32.5	34.6	35.2	35.7	35.7	35.7	35.7		
_	Exceedance Level	-15.3	-13	-10.5	-8.4	-7.8	-7.3	-7.3	-7.3	-7.3		
3	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43		
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.3	31.8	34.6	37.1	37.5	37.9	37.9	37.9	37.9		
-	Exceedance Level	-13.7	-11.2	-8.4	-5.9	-5.5	-5.1	-5.1	-5.1	-5.1		
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43		
NAL4	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	23.4	26.5	29.7	32.4	32.7	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9		
_	Exceedance Level	-19.6	-16.5	-13.3	-10.6	-10.3	-10.1	-10.1	-10.1	-10.1		
NA	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43		

tneigroup.com



	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	27.7	30.9	34.2	37.1	37.3	37.5	37.5	37.5	37.5
	Exceedance Level	-15.3	-12.1	-8.8	-5.9	-5.7	-5.5	-5.5	-5.5	-5.5
NAL6	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.5	32.8	36.1	39	39.2	39.4	39.4	39.4	39.4
	Exceedance Level	-13.5	-10.2	-6.9	-4	-3.8	-3.6	-3.6	-3.6	-3.6
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL7	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29	31.8	34.9	37.5	37.8	38.1	38.1	38.1	38.1
_	Exceedance Level	-14	-11.2	-8.1	-5.5	-5.2	-4.9	-4.9	-4.9	-4.9
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
NAL8	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	28.8	31.2	33.7	35.9	36.5	37	37	37	37
_	Exceedance Level	-14.2	-11.8	-9.3	-7.1	-6.5	-6	-6	-6	-6
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
NAL10	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	32.3	34.7	37.2	39.5	40	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5
Z	Exceedance Level	-17.7	-15.3	-12.8	-10.5	-10	-9.5	-9.5	-9.5	-9.5
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL11	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	30.4	34.3	38	40.7	40.9	41	41	41	41
Z	Exceedance Level	-12.6	-8.7	-5	-2.3	-2.1	-2	-2	-2.5	-2.5
-	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL12	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.8	33.7	37.4	40.1	40.3	40.4	40.4	40.4	40.4
Z	Exceedance Level	-13.2	-9.3	-5.6	-2.9	-2.7	-2.6	-2.6	-3.1	-3.1
~	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	44.2	45.5	46.7	47.9
NAL13	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.4	33	36.6	39.6	39.8	39.9	39.9	39.9	39.9
Z	Exceedance Level	-13.6	-10	-6.4	-3.4	-3.2	-4.3	-5.6	-6.8	-8
4	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	44.2	45.5	46.7	47.9
NAL14	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	31.9	35.4	39	41.9	42.1	42.3	42.3	42.3	42.3
Z	Exceedance Level	-11.1	-7.6	-4	-1.1	-0.9	-1.9	-3.2	-4.4	-5.6
10	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL15	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	26.5	30.6	34.3	36.6	36.8	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9
Z	Exceedance Level	-16.5	-12.4	-8.7	-6.4	-6.2	-6.1	-6.1	-6.6	-6.6
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL16	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	24.6	28.6	32.3	34.6	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.9
Ν	Exceedance Level	-18.4	-14.4	-10.7	-8.4	-8.1	-8.1	-8.1	-8.6	-8.6
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL17	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	29.6	33.7	37.4	39.7	40	40	40	40	40
NA	Exceedance Level	-13.4	-9.3	-5.6	-3.3	-3	-3	-3	-3.5	-3.5
	Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limit	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43.5	43.5
NAL18	Existing & consented + Dubbers (11 WTs)	30.2	34.2	38	40.3	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6
Ŋ	Exceedance Level	-12.8	-8.8	-5	-2.7	-2.4	-2.4	-2.4	-2.9	-2.9

The assessment results show that predicted cumulative wind turbine noise levels are below the Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits at most the Noise Assessment Locations however exceedances in full mode and worst-case cumulative wind direction are identified in daytime at 3 receptors south of the Dubbers wind turbines, with details as follows:

- NAL11 Longstone Cottage: Exceedance up to 0.8dB daytime 7-8m/s , easterly winds
- NAL12-Longstone House: Exceedance up to 0.2dB daytime 7-8m/s , easterly winds
- NAL18- Old Pound near Clay Community Church: Exceedance up to 0.5dB daytime 7-8m/s, easterly winds





The Annex 3 details predictions in 4 wind directions (northerly, easterly, southerly, westerly) at NAL10-11 and 18 to illustrate how cumulative predictions¹ and exceedances vary depending on the wind direction. Exceedances are only observed in easterly winds so mitigation in daytime 7-8m/s in easterly winds is required for the V117 to meet the noise limits with alternative modes to that of the full mode PO2 (i.e. this model has lower noise modes such as SO1,SO2, SO3 which can be programmed for specific conditions). A calculation has been made to evaluate the maximum noise levels at receptors from the two Dubbers wind turbines so that cumulative noise can be met in these specific conditions and it was found that the two Dubbers turbines must be reduced as per Table 2 of the suggested noise conditions found in Annex 4. As an example, in most conditions at property Longstone Cottage, the 2 Dubbers turbines must be limited to 37.4dB at 8m/s as indicated in Table 1 of the condition but as per Table 2, in daytime 15°to 75° wind direction (north easterly) the value is reduced to 32.4dB at 8m/s (achieved by using mode SO3 for both Dubbers wind turbines).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cumulative predictions in Annex3 are inclusive of the potential Higher Biscovilack turbine to consider worst-case, although as demonstrated in Figures A1.2 the Higher Biscovilack turbines is not influential at NAL10-11 and 18.



# 4 Conclusion

Predictions of wind turbine noise have been made, based upon sound power level data for the Vestas V117 4.3MW Mode PO2 for the proposed two Dubbers Wind Turbines. The five nearby consented single Wind Turbines at Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow have all been considered as part of a cumulative noise assessment, along with 4 other operational EWT DW54 (500 kW) wind turbines and a potential additional 1 x wind turbine at Higher Biscovillack (proposed by Clean Earth Energy at similar timescales as Dubbers).

The predictions were undertaken at eighteen Noise Assessment Locations which are residential properties in the immediate and wider area. For most the Noise Assessment Locations, Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits (also referred to as the 'cumulative limit') were already presented in the planning application noise reports of wind turbines consented at Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow. The only receptors specifically added for consideration of the two Dubbers wind turbines are Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor, Menmundy Cottage and Old Pound and these have been set with Total Noise Limits derived from background noise levels at a proxy location Longstone House.

The assessment results show that predicted cumulative wind turbine noise levels are below the Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits at most the Noise Assessment Locations however exceedances in full mode and worst-case cumulative wind direction are identified in daytime at 3 receptors south of the Dubbers wind turbine, of up to 0.8dB daytime 7-8m/s in easterly winds. Mitigation in daytime 7-8m/s in easterly winds is required for the V117 to meet the noise limits with alternative modes to that of the full mode PO2 (i.e. this model has lower noise modes which can be programmed for specific conditions). A calculation has been made to evaluate the maximum noise levels at the key receptors south from the two Dubbers wind turbines so that cumulative noise can be met in these specific conditions and the results are shown in suggested planning noise condition tables found in Annex 4.

If Cornwall Council are minded to approve the Dubbers Wind Turbine, it is recommended that conditioned noise limits are specific for the Dubbers Wind Turbines operating on their own. The noise conditions mechanism found in the recent planning conditions of Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn, Goonamarth 2 and Burngullow may be used, which involves conditioning the wind turbines based on predicted noise levels. The noise predictions for the Dubbers Wind Turbines with 2 x Vestas V117 4.3MW in full mode PO2 are shown in this report and an example conditions is included in Annex 4, with an adjustment to lower more restrictive values (compared to full mode) where required to ensure that Total ETSU-R-97 Noise Limits are met by cumulative predictions in all conditions and at all receptors.



# 5 References

ETSU-R-97 'The Working Group on Noise from Wind Turbines: 'The Assessment and Rating of Noise from Wind farms', ETSU Report ETSU-R-97, 1996.

Institute of Acoustics (2013) 'Good Practice Guidance on the application of ETSU-R-97 for wind turbine noise assessment'

ISO 9613-2 'Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors – Part 2: General method of calculation', International Standards Organisation, ISO 9613-2, 1996.



# 6 Glossary of Terms

**Broadband Noise:** noise with components over a wide range of frequencies.

Decibel (dB): the ratio between the quietest audible sound and the loudest tolerable sound is a million to one in terms of the change in sound pressure. A logarithmic scale is used in noise level measurements because of this wide range. The scale used is the decibel (dB) scale which extends from 0 to 140 decibels (dB) corresponding to the intensity of the sound pressure level.

**dB(A):** the ear has the ability to recognise a particular sound depending on the pitch or frequencies found at the source. Microphones cannot differentiate noise in the same way as the ear, and to counter this weakness the noise measuring instrument applies a correction to correspond more closely to the frequency response of the human ear. The correction factor is called 'A Weighting' and the resulting measurements are written as dB(A). The dB(A) is internationally accepted and has been found to correspond well with people's subjective reaction to noise. Some typical subjective changes in noise levels are:

- a change of 3dB(A) is just perceptible;
- a change of 5dB(A) is clearly perceptible;
- a change of 10dB(A) is twice (or half) as loud.

**Frequency:** the pitch of a sound in Hz or kHz. See Hertz.

Hertz (Hz): sound frequency refers to how quickly the air vibrates, or how close the sound waves are to each other (in cycles per second, or Hertz (Hz)).

Lw: is the sound power level. It is a measure of the total noise energy radiated by a source of noise, and is used to calculate noise levels at a distant location. The LWA is the A-weighted sound power level.

**Leq:** is the equivalent continuous sound level, and is the sound level of a steady sound with the same energy as a fluctuating sound over the same period. It is possible to consider this level as the ambient noise encompassing all noise at a given time. The LAeq,T is the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound level over a given time period (T).

**L90:** index represents the noise level exceeded for 90 percent of the measurement period and is used to indicate quieter times during the measurement period. It is often used to measure the background noise level. The LA90,10min is the A-weighted background noise level over a ten minute measurement sample.

**Noise emission:** the noise energy emitted by a source (e.g. a wind turbine).


Noise immission: the sound pressure level detected at a given location (e.g. the nearest dwelling).


**Tonal Noise:** noise which covers a very restricted range of frequencies (e.g. a range of ≤20 Hz). This noise can be more annoying than broadband noise.




# Annex 1 – Figures










10 Minute Average Windspeed (m/s) Standardised to 10m Height





15

Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client


Cumulative Noise Predictions

NTS Checked MC 13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model






### Daytime - Prideaux (NAL2 at 198384,53077)




# Night Time - Prideaux (NAL2 at 198384,53077)






Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions NTS








### Daytime - 23 Carne Hill (NAL3 at 198762,53393)



# Night Time - 23 Carne Hill (NAL3 at 198762,53393)





Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions 23 Carne Hill NTS


Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack

Checked MC 13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model






# Daytime - Treglyn Gardens (NAL4 at 199550,53677)



# Night Time - Treglyn Gardens (NAL4 at 199550,53677)






Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions Treglyn Gardens NTS Checked MC 13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model






### Daytime - Secret Cottage (2 properties) (NAL5 at 199152,53871)



# Night Time - Secret Cottage (2 properties) (NAL5 at 199152,53871)






Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack

Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions Secret Cottage (2 properties)


Checked MC 13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model













Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client


Cumulative Noise Predictions

Penisker Farm NTS Checked MC


13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model














Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions Biscovillack Farm NTS Checked MC 13/10/2025 Date

Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model










Night Time - Area 51 campsite and house east of Greensplat Rd (NAL8 at 200157,54074)





Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client

Cumulative Noise Predictions


Area 51 campsite and house east of Greensplat Rd

Fig No. NTS Drawn Checked MC


13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model















Client Clean Earth Energy
Title Cumulative Noise Predictions
Greystone Cottage
Fig No. Figure A1.2j
Scale NTS
Drawn MR
Checked MC
Date 13/10/2025
Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model


Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack













Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions Longstone Cottage Fig No. NTS Drawn Checked MC


13/10/2025

Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model

Date










10 Minute Average Windspeed (m/s) Standardised to 10m Height





Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions

Longstone House


NTS Checked MC 13/10/2025 Date

Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model







### Daytime - Carthew Farm Cottage (NAL13 at 200287,55931)



### Night Time - Carthew Farm Cottage (NAL13 at 200287,55931)





Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions Carthew Farm Cottage


NTS Drawn Checked MC

13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model






### Daytime - Adit (property North of Carthew) (NAL14 at 200287,56332)



# Night Time - Adit (property North of Carthew) (NAL14 at 200287,56332)





Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions Adit (property North of Carthew) Fig No.


NTS Checked MC 13/10/2025 Date

Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model







### Daytime - Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor (NAL15 at 197045,56977)



### Night Time - Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor (NAL15 at 197045,56977)





Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack

Client Clean Earth Energy

Title Cumulative Noise Predictions
Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor


Fig No. Figure A1.20

Fig No. Figure A1.2o
Scale NTS
Drawn MR
Checked MC
Date 13/10/2025
Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model






### Daytime - Menmundy Cottage (NAL16 at 196525,56368)



Night Time - Menmundy Cottage (NAL16 at 196525,56368)








Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client Cumulative Noise Predictions Menmundy Cottage Fig No. NTS Drawn Checked MC 13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model














Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack

Client Clean Earth Energy

Title Cumulative Noice Predictions

Title Cumulative Noise Predictions

Old Pound
Fig No. Figure A1.2q
Scale NTS
Drawn MR
Checked MC

Checked MC


Date 13/10/2025

Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model






#### Daytime - Old Pound near Clay Community Church (NAL18 at 197341,55594)



Night Time - Old Pound near Clay Community Church (NAL18 at 197341,55594)





Project Imerys - Dubbers and Higher Biscovillack Clean Earth Energy Client **Cumulative Noise Predictions** 

Old Pound near Clay Community Church

NTS Checked MC

13/10/2025 Date Doc. Ref. 16024-ETSU Model





# Annex 2 – Noise data, wind turbine coordinates and topographic adjustments



### Table B1: Sound Power Level Data LAeq (dB)

				Reference Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) Standardised to 10m Height													
Wind Farm	Wind Turbine Model	Hub height of source data	Uncertainty Included	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10					11	12
	VESTAS V117 4.3 MW PO2 (serrated blades)	76.5				Restricted	data, availab	le on reques	t. Maximum	sound powe	r as mode	elled is 107	dB.				
Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn,Burngullow, Goonamarth 2	ENERCON E-115 EP3 E4-4260 kW Mode 0s (serrated blades)	77				Restricted	data, availab	le on reques	t. Maximum	sound powe	r as mode	elled is 107	dB.				
Higher Goonamarth, Greensplat, Blackpool and Gunheath	EWT DW54 500kw	50	1.2 - 1.5	-	-	96.2	97.8	98.9	100.0	101.0	101.0					101.0	101.0

### Table B2: Octave Band Data LAeq (dB)


Scheme Turbine Modelled		Octave Band (Hz)											
Scheme	Turbine Modelled	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	73.3	Overall			
Dubbers and Higher Biscovvilack	VESTAS V117 4.3 MW PO2 (serrated blades)			R	estricted da	ta, available	on request.						
Longstones, East Karslake, Wheal Martyn,Burngullow, Goonamarth 2	ENERCON E-115 EP3 E4-4260 kW Mode 0s (serrated blades)			R	estricted da	ta, available	on request.						
Higher Goonamarth, Greensplat, Blackpool and Gunheath	EWT DW54 500kw	83.1	89.3	94.6	95.9	94.5	92.0	85.1	73.3	101.0			


Table B3: Topographic adjustments ( -2 when no line of sight and +3 when concave profile) and WT Coordinates

		T ID	Assessment Locations													,	· ·				
Wind Farm	Hub height	TID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	X	У
Higher Goonamarth WT	50	1	-2	-2	3	-2	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	3	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	198780	54963
Greensplat WT	50	2	0	0	0	-2	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	198270	53615
Blackpool WT	50	3	-2	3	3	-2	3	3	0	0	0	-2	3	3	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	200059	54577
Gunheath WT	50	4	-2	-2	3	-2	-2	3	-2	-2	3	-2	-2	3	0	-2	-2	-2	-2	200613	56770
Wheal Martyn WT	77	5	-2	-2	3	-2	3	3	3	-2	3	-2	-2	0	0	0	-2	-2	-2	199935	56269
Longstones WT	77	6	-2	-2	3	-2	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	-2	0	-2	-2	-2	198316	55310
East Karslake WT	77	7	-2	-2	3	-2	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	198647	55360
Burngullow WT	77	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	-2	-2	-2	0	-2	198378	54117
Goonamarth 2 WT	77	9	-2	0	0	-2	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	3	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	198925	54797
Dubbers WT1	76.5	10	-2	-2	0	-2	-2	0	0	0	-2	0	0	-2	-2	0	0	0	0	197652	56441
Dubbers WT2	76.5	11	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	0	-2	0	0	-2	-2	0	0	0	0	197501	56094
Higher Biscovvilack WT	76.5	12	3	3	3	-2	3	3	0	0	0	3	3	3	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	199806	54453

## Annex 3 – Predictions in various conditions







## Annex 4 – Suggested noise condition



### **Suggested Noise Conditions for Dubbers 2 x Wind Turbines**

For consistency with the other consented wind turbines in this local area, the below conditions have been drafted by TNEI based on wording from PA23/10069 (Goonamarth 2 Wind Turbine) decision notice and adapted for the Dubbers 2  $\times$  Wind Turbines specific predictions and removing references to a specific turbine model (whilst still keeping an explanation how the limit values were calculated). TNEI would otherwise in general prefer the use of the suggested conditions found on the IOA GPG May 2023.

### Operational Noise Conditions

- 1)Noise Assessment Locations are those points in which noise predictions and noise impact assessment have been calculated. The noise limit values set out below are based on assumptions of a candidate turbine in the planning application noise report and should not be exceeded by any other potential candidate wind turbine models.
  - a) The rating level of noise imissions from the Dubbers 2 x wind turbines (including the application of any penalties for tonal and/or amplitude modulation components), when determined in accordance with the attached Guidance Notes (to this condition), when operating in isolation shall not exceed the values for relevant integer wind speeds set out in, or derived from Table 1 and Table 2 below at the curtilage of any noise-sensitive premises lawfully existing or which has planning permission at the date of this consent.

Table 1 - Noise Limit values (dB LA90) applicable both Daytime and Night-time unless exceptions

Property	Wind speed standardised to 10 metre height (m/s)											
. ,	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 20.2 19.2 20.9 19.3 20.8 23 21.5	12			
Newgate(197946,53255)	9.8	14	17.7	19.9	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	20.2			
Prideaux(198384,53077)	8.8	13	16.7	18.9	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2			
23 Carne Hill(198762,53393)	10.5	14.7	18.4	20.6	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9			
Treglyn Gardens(199550,53677)	8.9	13.1	16.8	19	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3			
Secret Cottage(199152,53871)	10.4	14.6	18.3	20.5	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8			
Penisker Farm(199087,54161)	12.6	16.8	20.5	22.8	23	23	23	23	23			
Biscovillack Farm(199576,54088)	11.1	15.3	19	21.2	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5			
Area 51 campsite and house east of Greensplat Rd(200157,54074)	10.4	14.6	18.3	20.5	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8			

Greystone Cottage(199819,54849)	9	13.1	16.9	19.1	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4
Longstone Cottage(197688,55420)	27	31.1	34.9	37.1*	37.4*	37.4	37.4	37.4	37.4
Longstone House(197633,55346)	26.2	30.3	34.1	36.3*	36.6*	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
Carthew Farm Cottage(200287,55931)	11	15.2	18.9	21.1	21.4	21.4	21.4	21.4	21.4
Adit (property North of Carthew)(200287,56332)	11.1	15.3	19	21.2	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5
Avondale Terrace, Whitemoor(197045,56977)	26	30.2	33.9	36.1	36.4	36.4	36.4	36.4	36.4
Menmundy Cottage (196525,56368)	24	28.2	31.9	34.1	34.4	34.4	34.4	34.4	34.4
Old Pound(197154,55665)	29	33.2	36.9	39.1	39.4	39.4	39.4	39.4	39.4
Old Pound near Clay Community Church(197341,55594)	29.5	33.7	37.4	39.6*	39.9*	39.9	39.9	39.9	39.9

<sup>\*</sup> see exceptions in Table 2.

Table 2 - Noise Limit values (dB LA90) applicable in specific time periods and wind conditions

Property	Time / Wind Direction	Wind speed standardised to 10 metre height (m/s)											
	range	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12			
Longstone	Day / 15°- 75°	-	-	-	32.4	32.4	-	-	-	1			
Cottage(197688,55420)	Day / 75°- 105°	-	-	-	33.7	33.8	-	-	-	-			
Longstone House(197633,55346)	Day / 15°- 75°	-	-	-	31.6	31.6	-	-	-	1			
Old Pound near Clay Community Church(197341,55594)	Day / 345°-105°	-	-	-	38.9	38.9	-	-	-	1			

b) For the purpose of this condition, curtilage for domestic premises is defined as "the boundary of a lawfully existing domestic garden area". The geographical coordinate references are provided for the purpose of identifying the general location of noise sensitive receptors to which a given set of noise limits applies. It should be noted that the property Higher Biscovillack Farm (199385,54756) is not considered a residential receptor.

- c) At the request of the Local Planning Authority (LPA), the wind turbine operator shall, at their own expense, employ a suitably competent and qualified person to measure and assess, by a method to be approved in writing by the LPA, whether noise from the turbine/s meets the specified level. The assessment shall be commenced within 21 days of the notification, or such longer time as approved by the LPA.
- d) If the LPA is in the opinion that the noise is tonal, the method shall include an assessment of tonality as described in ETSU-R-97. Where a tone is identified a penalty shall be added to the measured sound levels in accordance with ETSU-R-97 and guidance note 2 attached to this condition.
- e) A copy of the assessment, together with all recorded data and audio files obtained as part of the assessment, shall be provided to the LPA (in electronic form) within 60 days of the notification.
- f) If the assessment requested by the LPA demonstrates that the specified level is being exceeded, the operator of the turbine/s shall take immediate steps to ensure that the noise emissions from the turbine/s are reduced to, or below, the specified noise limit. The operator shall provide written confirmation of that reduction to the LPA within a time period to be agreed with the LPA. In the event that it is not possible to achieve the specified noise limit with mitigation within a reasonable time period, then the operation of the turbine/s shall cease.
- g) In the event that an alternative turbine/s to that contained in the submitted noise assessment is chosen for installation, then development shall not take place until a new desktop site specific noise assessment of the proposed turbine has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
- h) Where micro-siting of the turbine/s has been approved, the applicant shall provide the 12-figure national grid reference of the installed turbine/s to the Local Planning Authority within 4 weeks of commissioning of the turbine.
- i) Within 28 days from receipt of a written request from the Planning Authority, following an excessive amplitude modulation (EAM) complaint to it from the occupant of a noise sensitive receptor, the wind turbine operator shall submit a scheme for the assessment and regulation of EAM to the Planning Authority for its written approval. The scheme shall be in general accordance with:
  - Any guidance endorsed in National or English Planning Policy or Guidance at that time, or in the absence of endorsed guidance,
  - Suitable published methodology endorsed as good practice by the Institute of Acoustics; or in the absence of such published methodology,
  - The methodology published by Renewable UK on the 16<sup>th</sup> December 2013, or any other methodology agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority;

The approved scheme shall be implemented within 3 months of the written approval by the Planning Authority and shall thereafter be retained.

In the event that the EAM cannot be eliminated or reduced below the level specified in the agreed methodology, then the operation of the turbine/s shall permanently cease.

### **Guidance Note 1** – Excess Amplitude Modulation

Excess Amplitude Modulation ("Excess AM") is the modulation of aerodynamic noise produced at the frequency at which a blade passes a fixed point and occurring in ways not anticipated by ETSU-R-97, The Assessment and Rating of Noise from Wind Farms, on page 68.

#### **Guidance Note 2**

- (a) If a tonal penalty is required in accordance with section d of the condition the rating level of the turbine noise at each wind speed is the arithmetic sum of the measured noise level as determined from the best fit curve described in (b) below and the penalties for tonal noise as derived in accordance with section d of the condition at each integer wind speed.
- (b) For those data points considered valid, values of the LA90,10 minute noise measurements and corresponding values of the 10- minute wind speed, as derived from the standardised ten metre height wind speed averaged across all operating wind turbines using the approved methodology from part (c) of the condition, shall be plotted on an XY chart with noise level on the Y-axis and the standardised mean wind speed on the X-axis. A least squares, "best fit" curve of an order deemed appropriate by the independent consultant (but which may not be higher than a fourth order) should be fitted to the data points and define the wind turbine/s noise level at each integer speed.